History 12	
Unit 7 – The Middle East	-

Name:		
Dato		

The PLO and Peacemaking at the UN and Camp David

Having failed to convince the world that they deserved to occupy the lands around Jerusalem, the Palestinians tried to organize a resistance against Israeli rule. The formation of the Palestine Liberation Organization started a series of terrorist activities not just in Israel, but in the rest of the world as well. Most of the Arab nations around Israel had realized by this time that open and conventional warfare against Israel was a losing cause, so they threw their support behind the PLO. Their leader, Yasser Arafat proceeded with a terrorist campaign against the Israeli's, trying to push them into conceding territory to the Palestinians. By the 1970's, Arafat ad managed to gain the ear of Western nations and peace talks between the Palestinians and Israelis were under way. The question is:

Did the Palestinians achieve more by terror or by peaceful means from 1964 to 1974? You may use the following information to help with your answer:

- 1964: the formation of the PLO
- Raids into Israel by Fatah
- 1972: the Munich Olympics
- 1974: Arafat's speech at the UN

Use the accompanying information, readings from the text, and knowledge gained from discussions in class to answer the question. Make sure to support your answer with good evidence.

History 12 Unit 7 – The Middle East	Name: Date:		

Marking Rubric:

Categories	Incomplete/Does Not Meet Expectations	Approaching Expectations	Meeting Expectations	Exceeding Expectations
	1	2	3	4
Main arguments are Reasonable and Plausible	-No arguments are provided, or arguments are completely lacking logic, reason or plausibility	-Some of the main arguments are lacking logic, reason or plausibility	-Thesis position is plausible -One of the arguments is lacking logic, reason or plausibility	-Thesis position and main arguments are logical, reasonable and plausible
Supporting Arguments Are Fully Explained and Supported by Relevant and Accurate Evidence	-Supporting arguments are not explained or supported by relevant and accurate evidence	-Several of the supporting arguments are not fully explained, or lack relevant and accurate evidence	-Most of the supporting arguments are fully explained and include relevant and accurate evidence	-Supporting arguments are fully explained and include relevant and accurate evidence